



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/617,083 | 07/10/2003 | Pei-Yuan Lee | 3304.2.69 | 3375 |
| 21552 | 7590 | 11/15/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| MADSON & METCALF GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101 | | | HAMILTON, ISAAC N | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3724 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Tenta

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/617,083 | Applicant(s) LEE, PEI-YUAN | |
| | Examiner Isaac N. Hamilton | Art Unit 3724 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Isaac N. Hamilton. (3) _____
(2) Mr. Evan R. Witt. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lee et al (5,749,278).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Timothy V. Eley
Primary Examiner

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Office Action mailed 07/25/05 was further clarified with respect to the elements shown in figure 4 of Lee et al. Applicant asserts that the "bent portion" as defined by the Examiner cannot be labeled as the "bent portion" because another element shown in figure 1 is the "bent portion". It is believed that both the applicant's bent portion and the Examiner's bent portion can be called bent portions, however, with reference to the claim limitations, the Examiner's bent portion meets the claim limitations. Applicant further asserts that the element defined as the "depressing-force exerting portion" in Lee et al is not "disposed between said pivot portion and said bent portion" as recited in claim 16 because the "depressing-force exerting portion" is located on both sides of the "bent portion". It is believed that this limitation does not preclude the "depressing-force exerting portion" from being on both sides of the "bent portion", and if only a portion of the "depressing-force exerting portion" is between the pivot portion and the bent portion, as shown in figure 4 of Lee et al, then Lee et al meets the broad claim limitations. The Examiner agrees with the applicant that the "depressing-force exerting portion" as shown in figure 2c of the instant application is "wholly" or "only" disposed between the pivot portion and the bent portion. Diagram 1 is attached with figure 4 of Lee et al labeled with some of the elements of claim 16 that were discussed.

Art Unit: 3724




Diagram 1. Figure 2 of Lee et al labeled with some of the elements discussed.